RENAL ARTERY STENTING : TIPS & TRICKS

Sunitha Viswanathan MD DM FSCAI

METAANALYSES : 2006

Effectiveness of Management Strategies for Renal Artery Stenosis: A Systematic Review

Ethan Balk, MD, MPH; Gowel Raman, MD; Mei Chang, MPH; Stanley Ip, MD; Athina Tatsioni, MD; Alwaro Alonso, MD; Priscilla Chew, MPH; Scott J. Gilbert, MD; and Joseph Lau, MD

Tackground: Atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis is increasingly common in an aging population. Therapeutic options include medical treatment only or revascularization procedures.

Purpose: To compare the effects of medical treatment and revascularization on clinically important outcomes in adults with atherosclerotic renal artery steriosis. trials compared angioplasty without stort and medical treatments. Eight other comparative studies and 46 cohort studies met oriteria for analysis. Studies generally had poor methodologic quality and limited applicability to current practice. Overall, there was no robust evidence. Weak evidence suggested no large differences in mortality rates or cardiovascular events between medical and revascularization treatments. Acceptable evidence suggested similar kidney-

NO SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN ANGIPLASTY VS MEDICAL TREATMENT

Study Selection: The authors selected prospective studies of renal artery revascularization or medical treatment of patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis that reported mortality rates, kidney function, blood pressure, cardiovascular events, or adverse events at 6 months or later after study entry.

Data Extraction: A standardized protocol with predefined orteria was used to extract details on study design, interventions, outcomes, study quality, and applicability. The overall body of exidence was then graded as robust, acceptable, or weak. among some patients only in cohort studies of angioplasty. Available evidence did not adequately assess advene events or baseline characteristics that could predict which intervention would result in better outcomes.

Limitations: The evidence from direct comparisons of interventions is sparse and inadequate to draw robust conclusions.

Conclusions: Available evidence does not clearly support one treatment approach over another for athenoidentic renal artery stenosis.

IMPACT OF RENALARTERY REVASCULARISATION

	Study	Device	N	Cure	Improved
•	Klinge	stent	134	10%	68%
•	Lossino	stent	153	12%	51%
•	DRASTI	C balloon	106	7%	68%
•	Rocha	stent	150	6%	50%
•	Dorros	stent	145	1%	52%

ASTRAL TRIAL RAS :STENTING VS MEDICAL

	Revasc.	Medical	P-value
Any Anti-hypertensives	97%	99%	0.03
Diuretic	64%	69%	
Ca ² antagonist	63%	71%	
Beta-blocker	46%	55%	0.02
ACE-I, A-II antagonist	50%	43%	0.05
Alpha-blocker	39%	38%	
	and and a second se		a ana ing ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a
Mean # drugs	2.77 (1 - 6)	2.99 (1 - 6)	0.03

ASTRAL TRIAL :BLOOD PRESSURE ,CHOLESTEROL & STENOSES

Related Jahoratory measures					
Mana bland annound (mana)					
Mean blood pressure (range) — mm Hg					
Systolic	149 (87-270)	152 (90-241)	0.07		
Diastolic	76 (45-120)	76 (46-130)	0.63		
Mean total cholesterol (range) — mmol/liter§	4.7 (0.1-14.8)	4.7 (1.9-9.6)	0.79		
Renal physiology					
Stenosis					
Mean (range) — %	76 (40-100)	75 (20-99)	0.29		
Severity — no. (%)					
<50%	2 (<1)	4 (1)	0.68		
50–70%	159 (39)	164 (41)			
>70%	242 (60)	235 (58)			
Mean length of kidney (range) — cm	9.7 (6-14)	9.8 (6-20)**	0.44		
이 것 같은 것 같	a la col complete de des de				

A Randomized Multicenter Clinical Trial of Renal Artery Stenting in Preventing Cardiovascular and Renal Events: Results of the CORAL Study



Christopher J. Cooper, M.D., Timothy P. Murphy, M.D., Donald E. Cutlip, M.D., Kenneth Jamerson, M.D. William Henrich, M.D., Diane M. Reid, M.D., David J. Cohen, M.D., M.Sc., Alan H. Matsumoto, M.D., Michael Steffes, M.D., Michael R. Jaff, D.O., Martin R. Prince, M.D., Ph.D., Eldrin F. Lewis, M.D., Katherine R. Tuttle, M.D., Joseph I. Shapiro, M.D., M.P.H., John H. Rundback, M.D., Joseph M. Massaro, Ph.D., Ralph B. D'Agostino, Sr., Ph.D., and Lance D. Dworkin, M.D.,





Primary Endpoint

Composite of major cardiovascular or renal events:
 Cardiovascular or Renal Death
 Stroke
 Myocardial Infarction
 Heart Failure Hospitalization
 Progressive Renal Insufficiency
 Permanent Renal Replacement Therapy

C. Cooper, AHA 2013

CORAL TRIAL OUTCOMES



CORAL TRIAL BP OUTCOMES

Results: Systolic Blood Pressure



Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions 84:1163–1171 (2014)

PERIPHERAL VASCULAR DISEASE

Core Curriculum

SCAI Expert Consensus Statement for Renal Artery Stenting Appropriate Use

Sahil A. Parikh,^{1*} MD, FACC, FSCAI, Mehdi H. Shishehbor,² DO, MPH, FACC, FSCAI, Bruce H. Gray,³ DO, FSCAI, Christopher J. White,⁴ MD, FACC, FSCAI, and Michael R. Jaff,⁵ DO, FACC, FSCAI

SCAI 2014 APPROPPRIATE CARE FOR RENAL ARTERY STENOSES

Appropriate Care	 Cardiac Disturbance Syndromes (Flash Pulmonary Edema or acute coronary syndrome (ACS)) with severe hypertension Resistant HTN (Uncontrolled hypertension with failure of maximally tolerated doses of at least 3 antihypertensive agents, one of which is a diuretic, or intolerance to medications) Ischemic nephropathy with chronic kidney disease (CKD) with eGFR < 45cc/min and global renal ischemia (unilateral significant RAS with a solitary kidney or bilateral significant RAS) without other explanation
May Be	 Unilateral RAS with CKD (eGFR < 45 cc/min)
Appropriate Care	Unilateral RAS with prior episodes of congestive heart failure (Stage C)
	Anatomically challenging or high risk lesion (early bifurcation, small vessel,
	severe concentric calcification, and severe aortic atheroma or mural thrombus)
	Unilateral, Solitary, or Bilateral RAS with controlled BP and normal renal
Rarely	function.
Appropriate Care	Unilateral, solitary, or bilateral RAS with kidney size <7 cm in pole-to-pole
	length
	Unilateral, Solitary, or Bilateral RAS with chronic end stage renal disease
	on hemodialysis > 3 months.
	 Unilateral, Solitary, or Bilateral renal artery chronic total occlusion

HOW TO ASSESS HEMODYNAMIC SIGNIFICANCE OF LESION ????

Angiographic Stenosis Severity*	Physiologic Testing	Significance
<50%	None	Mild
50-70%	None	Indeterminate
50-70% with	Resting mean pressure gradient** >10mmHg	Significant
50-70% with	Systolic Hyperemic Pressure Gradient >20mmHg†	Significant
50-70% with	Renal Pd/Pa ≤ 0.8†	Significant
≥70%	None	Significant

SCAI 2014

GETTING STARTED....

- Set realistic expectations
- Half dose of anti hypertensives on day of procedure
- Preload with aspirin 350 mg and clopidodrel
- UFH 70IU/kg

ABDOMINAL AORTOGRAPHY FIRST



AP VIEW DSA AVOID IN CKD

ON ACCESS

- Femoral mostly
- Favorable imaging
- Majority AA disease
- 25 -45 cm sheath approppriate if iliac disease/ tortuosity
- Prevents GC induced trauma/atheroembolism

RADIAL / BRACHIAL ACCESS: <5%

• Steep inferior coursing renal arteries





II ORTHOGONAL

PROXIMAL PART OF RENAL ARTERIES

SELETIVE RENAL ANGIOGRAPHY

lmage size: 512 x 512 WL: 111 WW: 143 Joseph 10/M 5170/1302/R 009242/5170/1302/R (11 y , 10 y) Coronary Diagnostic Coronary Catheterization Coro 2020

Pathologic characters Localisation of disease Nephrogram -kidney size & intraparenchymal

Product Code French Size (F) Length (cm) Curve Style

RENAL CURVE

LA6PK1W	6	47	PK1	
LA7PK1W	7	47	PK1	
LA8PK1W	8	47	PK1	

RENAL DOUBLE CURVE

LA6RDCK	6	55	RDC	
LA7RDCK	7	55	RDC	
LA8RDCK	8	55	RDC	

THE NO TOUCH - TECHNIQUE



TRANSLESIONAL GRADIENTS

- Pull back :inconsistent;non simultaneous;non reproducible
- Not to be used
- Simultaneous placement of catheters
 -4F distal to lesion & 6 F prox

GUIDE CATHETER ENGAGEMENT

- Direct /Indirect
- 4F diagnostic catheter and 6 -8 F guide catheter introduced in tandem over 0.035 " wire into AA
- Diagnostic catheter coaxially engaged in renal artery ostium
- 0.014 "" guide wire introduced thro 4 F catheter and placed distal to lesion
- Guide catheter then railed over diagnostic catheter into renal ostium
- Once co-axial , diagnostic catheter removed

GUIDE CATHETER HANDLING Gentle handling

Avoid GC induced dissection/ trauma/acute closure/ atheroembolism

LEFT FEMORAL APPROACH ;RT RENAL RIGHT FEMORAL _ LEFT RENAL PLASTY

CHOICE OF GUIDEWIRES

Image size: 512 x 512 Joseph 10/M 5170/1302/R 009242/5170/1302/R (11 y, 10 y) **Coronary Diagnostic Coronary Catheterization** WL: 109 WW: 143 Coro 2020

0.014 " non hydrophilc prevents perforation Miinmal vessel trauma Compatible with balloon expandable stents Pressure wires, DPD

GUIDE WIRES

- 0.014 " coronary wires
- 0.018:" McNamara wires
- Tip to be positioned in distal main renal artery
- DO NOT WEDGE DISTALLY ... perforation/ perinephric hematoma, occlusion

PREDILATATION 512 Joseph 10/M 5170/1302/R 009242/5170/1302/R (11 y, 10 y)

Image size: 512 x 512 WL: 109 WW: 143

Coronary Diagnostic Coronary Catheterization Coro 2020

Predicts dilatability Lesion morphology Vessel sizing Image size: 512 x 512Joseph 10/M 5170/1302/R 009242/5170/1302/R (11 y , 10 y)WL: 109 WW: 143Coronary Diagnostic Coronary Catheterization
Coro 2020



WHY NOT PLAIN BALLOON PLASTY ??

- Lower procedural success
- Residual stenoses
- Vessel dissection
- Abrupt vessel closure
- Vessel recoil- poor long term success
- Encroachment of aortic atheroma
- Higher restenoses
- Only in FMD

RENAL STENTING

 Image size: 512 x 512
 Joseph
 10/M
 5170/1302/R
 009242/5170/1302/R
 (11 y , 10 y)

 WL: 109 WW: 143
 Coronary Diagnostic Coronary Catheterization

Coro 2020

Balloon Expandable preferred Good radial strength Precision placement 1-2 mm protrusion into aorta

RENAL STENTS

- 3 FDA APPROVED 0.014 " GUIDE WIRE COMPATIBLE balloon expandable stents platforms available
- Express SD Boston Scientific
- Formula Stent, Cook Medical
- Herculink Elite Abbot Vascular

TYPICAL STENT SPECIFICATIONS

Expanded Stent Diameter (mm)	Stent Lengths (mm)	In Vitro* Stent Deployment Pressure (atm)	Rated Burst Pressure RBP (atm)	Recommended Minimum Guiding Catheter ID (F) / (inches) / (mm)	Recommended Minimum Sheath / Introducer** (F) / (inches) / (mm)
4.0	12, 15, 18	11	14	6 / 0.067 / 1.70	5 / 0.071 / 1.80
4.5	12, 15, 18	11	14	6 / 0.067 / 1.70	5 / 0.071 / 1.80
5.0	12, 15, 18	11	14	6 / 0.067 / 1.70	5 / 0.071 / 1.80
5.5	12, 15, 18	11	14	6 / 0.067 / 1.70	5 / 0.071 / 1.80
6.0	12, 15, 18	11	14	6 / 0.067 / 1.70	5 / 0.071 / 1.80
6.5	12, 15, 18	11	14	6 / 0.067 / 1.70	5 / 0.071 / 1.80
7.0	15, 18	11	14	6 / 0.067 / 1.70	5 / 0.071 / 1.80



Image size: 512 x 512 WL: 109 WW: 151 Joseph 10/M 5170/1302/R 009242/5170/1302/R (11 y , 10 y) Coronary Diagnostic Coronary Catheterization Coro 2020

Post dilate and trumpet ostium

WL: 109 WW: 151

Image size: 512 x 512 Post Stenting 70/1 Distal 9242/5170/1302/R(11 y, 10 y) dissection, Embolism, Residual Coronary Catheterization thrombus, perforation **Renal hematoma**

> Less than 50% diameter stenoses More than 30% stenoses reduction Brisk renal parenchymal arterial fl Post intervention gradient less than mmHg

DISTAL PROTECTION DEVICE



No Randomised Data No dedicated devices May be used if eGFR less than 45 ml/min and single kidney

ROLE OF IVUS

- Could be useful
- Lesion assessment,ISR,stent thromboses.
- Lesion morphology, vessel sizing
- Post Intervention---
- Apposition, Expansion, lesion coverage,
- Dissection,thrombus,hematoma,
- Perforation ,Rupture

MULTI SOCIETAL GUIDELINES: REVIEW

CARDIAC DISTURBANCE

Hemodynamically Significant RAS with:

- Recurrent unexplained CHF OR
- Sudden, unexplained pulmonary edema.

(Class I, LOE B)

RAS and Unstable Angina (Class IIa; LOE B)

RESISTANT HYPERTENSION

RAS with:

- Accelerated, Resistant or Malignant HTN
- HTN with unilateral small kidney
- HTN with medication intolerance (Class IIa; LOE B)

ISCHEMIC NEPHROPATHY

RAS and CRI with:

- Bilateral RAS OR
- RAS to a solitary functioning kidney (Class IIa; LOE B)

RAS and CRI with

- Bilateral RAS OR
- RAS to a solitary functioning kidney (Class IIa; LOE B)

RAS and CRI with unilateral RAS (2 kidneys present). (Class IIb; LOE C)

Asymptomatic bilateral or solitary viable kidney with hemodynamically significant RAS (Class IIb, LOE C)

Asymptomatic Unilateral hemodynamically significant RAS in a viable kidney (Class IIb, LOE C)

Fig. 2. Review of Multi-Societal Guidelines Recommendations Adapted from [10]: Multisocietal Guideline Indications for renal artery revascularization. RAS, renal artery stenosis; CRI, chronic renal insufficiency; LOE, level of evidence.

THANK YOU





Image size: 512 x 512Mr.Sidhardhan73/M329/069/R01 039538/329/R01 (77 y ,73 y)WL: 120 WW: 143Coronary Diagnostic Coronary Catheterization
Coro 2020











Image size: 512 x 512Mr.Sidhardhan73/M329/069/R01 039538/329/R01 (77 y73 yWL: 112 WW: 143Coronary Diagnostic Coronary Catheterization
Coro 2020





NOT FOR MEDICAL USE

Zoom: 269% Im: 1/34 Series: 7 JPEG2000Lossle<u>ssOnly</u> Position: HFS

20/10/11, 3:05:13 AM Made In OsiriX